

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/827,097	04/06/2001	Nobuaki Ono	205447US2	5017
22850	7590 09/22/2004		EXAMINER	
,	PIVAK, MCCLELLA	ALLEN, DENISE S		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 June 2004.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 April 2001 and 27 June 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Denise S Allen  2872  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, any period of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, any period of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, any period to reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, any period of the period for reply specified above, his maximum admitudely peaked will apply willing the standary maintained of thirty (30) days, and the control of the period for reply specified above, his maximum admitudely peaked will apply willing the standary minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above, his maximum admitudely peaked will apply willing the standary minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above, his maximum admitudely peaked will apply willing the standary minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above, his maximum admitudely and the standary minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified the standary peaked will apply willing the standary minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified to the communication, and the standary reply received and the standard reply reply reduced to the maximum and the standard reply reduced to the standard reply reply reduced to the standard reply reply reduced to the standard reply reply reply reply reply reply reply reply reduced to the period reply			09/827,097	ONO ET AL.					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estensions of time may be available used the provision of 37 CPR 1.73(b). In to event, however, may a reply be timely filled  If the period for reply appelled above is less than thery (20) days, at play within the stateory minimum of thiny (20) days will be considered limely.  If the period for reply appelled above is less than thery (20) days, at play within the stateory minimum of thiny (20) days will be considered limely.  If the period for reply appelled above is less than thery (20) days, at play within the stateory minimum of thiny (20) days will be considered limely.  If the period for reply appelled above is less than thery (20) days, at play within the stateory minimum of thiny (20) days will be considered limely.  If the period for reply appelled above is less than thery (20) days, at play within the stateory minimum of thiny (20) days will be considered limely.  If the period for reply appelled above is less than thery (20) days, at play within the stateory minimum of thiny (20) days will be considered limely.  If the period for reply appelled above is less than thery (20) days, at play within the stateory minimum of thiny (20) days will be considered limely.  If the period for reply appelled to the state application is constitution.  Application is period for the period call the state application for a period day of the communication (20) days will be considered limely.  Application of Claims  Application of Claims  OLIGINARY (20) and 20 days and 20 days at play (20) days at play (20) days will be considered limely.  Application Papers  9	Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Exercisions of times may be available under the proteinions of 37 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a raply be timaly flied  - Exercisions of times may be available under the proteinions of 37 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a raply be timaly flied  - Exercision of times may be available under the proteinions of 37 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a raply be timaly flied  - If NO period for raply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and vell expire SIX (5) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for raply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and vell expire SIX (5) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for raply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and vell expire SIX (5) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for raply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and vell expire SIX (5) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for raply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and vell expire statutory.  - If NO period for raply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and vell expire statutory.  - If NO period for raply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and vell expire statutory.  - If NO period for raply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and vell expire statutory.  - Responsive to communication.  - If NO period for raply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and vell expire statutory.  - If NO period for raply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and vell expire statutory.  - If NO period for raply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and vell expire statutory.  - If NO period for raply is specified above, the maximum statutory			Denise S Allen	2872	IS.				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely flied after SX (c) MOTH'S flore the mailing date of this communication. As the state of the communication of the state o			cation appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	address				
1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 June 2004.  2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The precification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 06 April 2001 and 27 June 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Dratspersons Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Dr	THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we eply received by the Office later than three months aft	CATION.  137 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hower inication.  1 days, a reply within the statutory minicutory period will apply and will expire S  1 vill, by statute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered t IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of th become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	is communication.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 06 April 2001 and 27 June 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○ ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e)  1) ☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status								
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are eljected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 April 2001 and 27 June 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of the priority documents have been received. 2  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e) 1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	i on <u>29 <i>June 2004</i></u> .						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
Application of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on of April 2001 and 27 June 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informat Patent Application (PTO-152)	3)	·································							
4)		·	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1	933 C.D. 11, 433 C.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 06 April 2001 and 27 June 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some *c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 06 April 2001 and 27 June 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	-								
6) Claim(s) 7-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 April 2001 and 27 June 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some or None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  20 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on	·								
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 April 2001 and 27 June 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	·								
Picturity under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  **See the attached detailed Office action (PTO-1449) or PTO/5B/08)  Pior The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 April 2001 and 27 June 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirer	nent.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 April 2001 and 27 June 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicati	on Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, <u> </u>								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Examiner								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) be held	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a	i <b>).</b>				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the	attached Office Action or form	PTO-152.				
a) \[ All b) \[ \] Some * c) \[ \] None of:  1. \[ \] Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. \[ \] Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. \[ \] Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) \[ \] Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) \[ \] Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) \[ \] Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) \[ \] Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) <sub> </sub>			wood					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Solution of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* 5	• •	·						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachmen	t(s)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
	3) 🔲 infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F	PTO/SB/08) 5)	Notice of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on September 2 and October 20, 2003, and June 21, July 9, and August 9, 2004 did not include forms PTO-1449. Accordingly, it is noted here that the references listed in the information disclosure statements have been considered by the examiner.

## Response to Amendment

In light of the Applicant's amendment to claims 8, 13, and 15 on June 29, 2004, the objection to claims 8 – 11, 13, and 15 in the Office Action on March 29, 2004 has been withdrawn.

## Response to Arguments

In the Applicant's response on June 29, 2004, the Applicant argues with respect to claims 1 - 15, that one would not be motivated to combine the holes and pins of Kane et al for plurality of holding and fixing locations of Naiki et al because the holes and pins of Kane represent a discrete location and the holding and fixing locations of Naiki could be infinite (pages 10 – 13). This argument has been fully considered and not found to be persuasive. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant's argument. While a series of discrete locations would provide less adjustability than infinite locations of Naiki et al, one would be motivated to choose the reduced adjustability in order to make the adjustment faster and easier by eliminating free movement of the lens as indicated on page 4 (lines 3 – 4) of the office action dated March 29, 2004. Kane et al is relied on solely for the specific type of holding and fixing location of holes and pins, and not for the number of holding and fixing locations.

Art Unit: 2872

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naiki et al in view of Kane et al (US 6,061,190).

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Naiki et al teaches an optical scanning device (Figure 7) comprising: a light source (Figure 8 reference 1); a coupling lens (reference 3) coupling a beam emitted from said light source (Figure 13A); a light deflector (Figure 7 reference 31) deflecting the beam from said coupling lens (Figure 7) at a uniform angular velocity (column 7 lines 23 – 25); a line-image imaging optical system (reference 30) disposed between said coupling lens and light deflector, and causing the beam to image a line image long along main scanning directions on or in the vicinity of a deflection reflective surface of said light deflector (Figures 13A and 13B); a scanning and imaging optical system (Figure 7 reference 34) causing the beam deflected by said light deflector to image a beam spot (Figures 13A and 13B) on a medium (reference 37) to be scanned; and an optical housing (Figure 7 reference 25) in which said light source, coupling lens, light deflector, line-image imaging optical system and scanning and imaging optical system are disposed, and contained, and wherein a plurality of holding and fixing locations (Figures 8 – 11 references 7a and 10a) for holding and fixing a light-source part (references 5 and 20) comprising said light source and coupling lens are provided in at least one of said light-source part and optical housing. Naiki et al does not teach the plurality of holding

and fixing locations comprise pins and holes provided to the light source part and the optical housing, which pins and holes are combined with insertion of the pins to the holes to determine a

relative spatial relationship between the light source part and the optical housing.

Kane et al teaches the use of pins (references 30a and 30b) and holes (column 3 line 61) to hold and fix an optical element in a holding and fixing location by inserting the pins in the holes (column 3 lines 59 - 62). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the pins and holes of Kane et al for the plurality of holding and fixing locations in the optical scanning device of Naiki et al in order to make the locating of the light source part relative to the optical housing faster and easier.

Regarding claims 1, 2, and 12, Naiki et al teaches an image forming apparatus as described above. Naiki et al further teaches said light deflector is covered by a cover (column 7 lines 57 – 58); said cover has a window for the beam to be incident on and exit from said light deflector (Figure 7 reference 35); and a transparent cover member is mounted on said window (column 7 lines 58 – 61). Naiki et al does not teach said holding and fixing locations are determined so that, by selectably using said holding and fixing locations, the beam deflected by said light deflector passes through said scanning and imaging optical system approximately at the same position whether or not said transparent cover member is mounted.

Based on Snell's Law, it is inherent that the transparent cover member, when it is mounted in the window of the cover, shifts the position of the beam relative to the beam's position when the transparent cover member is not mounted in the window of the cover. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to selectably use the holding and fixing locations to adjust the position of the beam of Naiki et al for when the

Application/Control Number: 09/827,097

Art Unit: 2872

transparent cover member is mounted and for when it is not mounted in order to maintain the beam position on the medium to be scanned.

Regarding claim 3, Naiki et al teaches the light-source part and the line-image optical system are disposed on a common member (Figure 7 reference 25).

Regarding claim 4, Naiki et al teaches the coupling lens and line-image imaging optical system are formed integrally (Figure 7).

Regarding claim 5, Naiki et al teaches the light-source part comprises a plurality of light-emitting sources (Figure 9 references 1 and 1').

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Naiki et al teaches the beam emitted from the light-source part comprises an approximately parallel beam (Figure 9 the beam exiting reference 3 is approximately parallel).

Regarding claims 8 – 11 and 13, Naiki et al teaches an optical scanning device (Figure 7) comprising a light source unit (reference 4) emitting a beam; a first imaging optical system (reference 30) causing the beam emitted by said light-source unit to image at a predetermined position (Figures 13A and 13B); a deflector (Figure 7 reference 31) receiving the beam from said first imaging optical system and performing scanning with the beam (column 7 lines 44 – 48); and a second imaging optical system (Figure 7 reference 34) causing the beam from said deflector to image a beam spot (Figures 13A and 13B) on a surface to be scanned (reference 37), and wherein: said light-source unit, first imaging optical system, deflector, and second imaging optical system are mounted in a box housing (Figure 7 reference 25); a transparent member (reference 35) of an approximately parallel plate is disposed detachably so as to be located between said first imaging optical system and deflector and between said deflector and second

imaging optical system. Naiki et al does not teach the mounting position of said second imaging optical system is changeable according to whether or not said transparent member is provided.

Based on Snell's Law, it is inherent that the transparent cover member, when it is mounted in the window of the cover, shifts the position of the beam relative to the beam's position when the transparent cover member is not mounted in the window of the cover. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to change the mounting position of the second imaging optical system along the main scanning direction and along the optical axis direction to adjust the position of the beam of Naiki et al for when the transparent cover member is mounted and for when it is not mounted in order to maintain the beam position on the medium to be scanned.

Naiki et al further does not teach the plurality of predetermined locations comprise projections and receiving members provided to the second imaging optical system and the box housing, which projections and receiving members are combined with contact there between to determine the mounting position of said second imaging optical system in the box housing.

Kane et al teaches the use of projections (references 30a and 30b) and receiving members (column 3 line 61) to determine the mounting position of an optical element by contact between the projections and the receiving members (column 3 lines 59 - 62). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the projections and receiving members of Kane et al for the plurality of predetermined locations in the optical scanning device of Naiki et al in order to make determining the mounting position of the second imaging optical system relative to the box housing faster and easier.

Art Unit: 2872

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over of Naiki et al in view of Kane et al and further in view Kuroda.

Naiki et al in view of Kane et al teaches the optical scanning device as described above. Naiki et al and Kane et al do not teach an image forming apparatus comprising: an optical scanning device scanning a surface of a photosensitive body with a beam so as to form a latent image on said photosensitive body; said photosensitive body; a developing device developing the latent image so as to form a visible image; a transferring device transferring the visible image to a sheet recording medium; and a fixing device fixing the visible image onto the sheet recording medium. Kuroda does not teach the optical scanning device as claimed.

Kuroda teaches an image forming apparatus (Figure 9) comprising: an optical scanning device (references 10, 15, 20, and 25) scanning a surface of a photosensitive body (reference 30) with a beam (line with arrowhead) so as to form a latent image on said photosensitive body; said photosensitive body; a developing device (reference 32) developing the latent image so as to form a visible image; a transferring device (reference 33) transferring the visible image to a sheet recording medium (reference P); and a fixing device (reference 44) fixing the visible image onto the sheet recording medium. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the optical scanning device of Naiki et al in view of Kane et al in place of the optical scanning device in the image forming apparatus of Kuroda in order to speed up the image forming process by using multibeam scanning.

Art Unit: 2872

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Denise S Allen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2305. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,097

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Denise S Allen Examiner Art Unit 2872 Page 9

XIIA

Audrey Chang Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800